



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,440	01/25/2002	Uri Mahlab	MAHLAB=2	3860
1444	7590	03/03/2008	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BELLO, AGUSTIN	
624 NINTH STREET, NW				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/936,440	MAHLAB, URI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Agustin Bello	2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 45-55,57,59,66-73,82-84 and 86 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 45-55,57,59,66-73,82-84 and 86 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 45-47, 53. 55, 57, 66, 72, 82-83, 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barnsley (U.S. Patent No. 5,488,501).

Regarding claim 45, Barnsley teaches in a telecommunication system, a method for routing optical data signals using a first communication path (the optical path between reference numerals 4 and 6 in Figure 1) comprising at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber link between elements 4 and 6 in Figure 1) extending between at least two network elements (reference numerals 4, 6 in Figure 1) of the telecommunication system for carrying optical data signals separated from optical addressing signals, and a second communication path (e.g. the communication path between the output of coupler 7 and the input of optical switch 8 in Figure 1) comprising one or more optical fibers (i.e. the fiber between reference numerals 14 and 8 in Figure 1) extending between at least two network elements of the telecommunication system (reference numerals 14, 8 in Figure 1) for carrying optical addressing signals separated from said optical data signals, the method comprising the steps of providing a combination of said optical addressing signals to provide addressing information required for establishing an address for routing the optical data signals (column 1 lines 23-31), and providing at least one of said at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber link between elements 4 and 6 in Figure 1) comprised in said first

communication path for carrying said optical data signals separated from said optical addressing signals is different path from any of the one or more optical fibers comprised in said second communication path (i.e. the fiber between reference numerals 14 and 8 in Figure 1), and wherein said optical data signals being conveyed separately from said optical addressing signals along said at least one optical fiber were generated at a plurality of different network elements (i.e. the data signals generated at element 4 in Figure 1 where generated by a plurality of different elements, namely a light source and a modulator, each of which are part of the network and are thereby considered network elements; see column 2 lines 5-9).

Regarding claim 46, Barnsley teaches in a telecommunication system, a method for routing optical data signals between at least two routers in the system, which method comprises: generating first optical addressing signals by converting signals identifying a destination address into corresponding optical addressing signals (reference numeral 5a in Figure 1); transmitting said optical addressing signals separated from said optical data signals over one or more optical fibers (i.e. the fiber from element 5 and router 6) comprised in a first communication path (i.e. the communication path formed between elements 5, 6, and 7), said first communication path extending from one (reference numeral 6 in Figure 1) of the at least two routers to another router (reference numeral 7 in Figure 1) of the at least two routers; and concurrently or subsequently transmitting said optical data signals (reference numeral 4 in Figure 1) separated from said optical addressing signals to said another router via a second communication path (i.e. the communication path formed between elements 4, 6, and 7) comprising at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber between elements 4 and 6 in Figure 1), said second communication path extending from said one router (reference numeral 6 in Figure 1) of the at least two routers to the another

router (reference numeral 7 in Figure 1), and comprising at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber between elements 4 and 6 in Figure 1) which is different from any of the at least one optical fibers (i.e. the fiber from element 5 and router 6) comprised in said first communication path, wherein said optical data signals being conveyed separately from said optical addressing signals were generated at a plurality of different network elements (i.e. the data signals generated at element 4 in Figure 1 where generated by a plurality of different elements, namely a light source and a modulator, each of which are part of the network and are thereby considered network elements; see column 2 lines 5-9).

Regarding claim 47, Barnsley teaches generating new optical addressing signals (reference numeral 5a in Figure 1) associated with the next section of a transmission path extending from said one router (reference numeral 6 in Figure 1) of the at least two routers towards said destination address; transmitting the new optical addressing signals over one or more optical fibers (i.e. the link comprising reference numerals 2, 5, 6, 7 in Figure 1) extending between said one router of the at least two routers and another router (reference numeral 7 in Figure 1); transmitting said optical data signals to said another router via an optical fiber (i.e. the link comprising reference numerals 2, 4, 6, 7 in Figure 1) extending between said one router of the at least two routers and said another router; wherein said optical fiber over which said optical data signals are transmitted is different form said one or more optical fibers for carrying said opt data signals separated from said optical addressing signals, repeating the steps of generating new optical signals (inherent in the transmission of each 16-bit packet and its associated control signal as described in column 3 lines 55 – column 4 line 7), transmitting the new optical addressing signals separated from said optical data signals and transmitting said optical data

signals separated from said new optical addressing signals to said another router (i.e. the addressing signals and the data signals are separated at least before they reach router 6 in Figure 1), until said optical data signals are transmitted to said destination address via subsequent routers (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) located along a transmission path extending towards said destination address.

Regarding claims 53 and 72, Barnsley teaches that the transmission of at least one of the optical data signals is delayed (as noted in the abstract) until the following steps are performed (column 4 lines 28-34): decoding said optical address signals (column 1 lines 25-27); deriving addressing information from the decoded optical addressing signals (column 1 lines 25-27); and if required, generating another, or using said, optical routing address for further routing of said optical data signals (column 4 lines 24-29).

Regarding claim 55, Barnsley teaches transmitting to said one of the at least two routers (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) an indication (i.e. the control signal 5a) that said optical data signals can be forwarded towards their destination; receiving (reference numeral 16 in Figure 1) said indication at said one of the at least two routers; and transmitting, responsive to receiving said indication, said optical data signals towards said another router along said data transmission path (i.e. along to path 11a).

Regarding claim 57, Barnsley teaches that at least one part of said second communication path extends in network different than a network in which said optical data signals are transmitted to their destination (i.e. the next network connected to reference numeral 3 in Figure 1; Figures 5 and 6).

Regarding claim 66, Barnsley teaches means (reference numeral 5 in Figure 1) for generating first optical addressing signals by converting signals identifying a destination address into corresponding optical addressing signals; means (reference numeral 5 in Figure 1) for transmitting said optical addressing signals from said routing apparatus to a second router (reference numeral 7 in Figure 1) over a first communication path (i.e. the path comprised of reference numerals 5, 6, 2, and 7 in Figure 1) comprising at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 5 and 6 in Figure 1) for carrying said optical addressing signals separated from said optical data signals; and means (reference numeral 4 in Figure 1) for transmitting said optical data signals from said routing apparatus to said second router (reference numeral 7 in Figure 1) along a second communication path (i.e. the path comprised of reference numerals 4, 6, 2, and 7 in Figure 1) comprising at least one optical fiber (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 4 and 6 in Figure 1), said at least one optical fiber for carrying said optical data signals separated from said optical addressing signals and wherein said at least one optical fiber for carrying said optical data signals separated from said optical addressing signals is different from any of the at least one optical fibers comprised in said first communication path (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 4 and 6 in Figure 1 is different from the fiber between reference numeral 5 and 6 in Figure 1), and wherein said optical data signals being conveyed separately from said optical addressing signals, were generated at a plurality of different network elements (i.e. the data signals generated at element 4 in Figure 1 where generated by a plurality of different elements, namely a light source and a modulator, each of which are part of the network and are thereby considered network elements; see column 2 lines 5-9).

Regarding claim 82, Barnsley teaches an apparatus for transmitting optical data signals between at least two network elements (reference numeral 4 and 12 in Figure 1) in a system a) signal generating means (reference numeral 5 in Figure 1) for generating optical addressing signals by converting signals identifying a destination address into corresponding optical addressing signals; b) transmission means (reference numeral 5 in Figure 1) for transmitting said optical addressing signals separated from said optical data signals over a first communication path (i.e. the path comprised of reference numerals 5, 6, 2, and 7 in Figure 1) comprising one or more optical addressing fibers (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 5 and 6 in Figure 1) and extending between the at least two network elements towards said destination address; and c) transmission means (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) for transmitting said optical data signals towards said destination address (reference numeral 12 in Figure 1) along a second communication path (i.e. the path comprised of reference numerals 4, 6, 2, 7, 8, 13, and 12 in Figure 1) comprising at least one optical fiber extending between the at least two network elements for conveying said optical data signals separated from said optical addressing signals fiber (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 4 and 6 in Figure 1), wherein at least one of said at least one optical fiber in said second communication path is different than any of the at least one optical fibers comprised in the second communication path (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 4 and 6 in Figure 1 is different from the fiber between reference numeral 5 and 6 in Figure 1), and wherein said optical data signals being conveyed separately from said optical addressing signals, were generated at a plurality of different network elements (i.e. the fiber between reference numeral 4 and 6 in Figure 1 is different from the fiber between reference numeral 5 and 6 in Figure 1), and wherein said optical data signals being conveyed separately

from said optical addressing signals, were generated at a plurality of different network elements (i.e. the data signals generated at element 4 in Figure 1 where generated by a plurality of different elements, namely a light source and a modulator, each of which are part of the network and are thereby considered network elements; see column 2 lines 5-9).

Regarding claim 83, Barnsley teaches the apparatus according to Claim 82, further comprising means (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) for receiving an indication that said optical data signals can be forwarded towards their destination (reference numeral 12 in Figure 1), wherein said means for transmitting said optical data signals (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) is adapted to transmit the optical data signals towards said destination responsive to receiving said indication (column 4 lines 24-29).

Regarding claim 86, Barnsley teaches a telecommunications routing apparatus (reference numeral 1 in Figure 1) comprising a) receiving means for receiving first optical addressing signals (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1); b) signal generation means for generating second optical addressing signals (reference numeral 21 in Figure 1) associated with the next section of a transmission path (reference numeral 3 in Figure 1) extending towards a destination address; c) transmission means (reference numeral 21 in Figure 1) for transmitting the second optical addressing signals separated (i.e. the separation of addressing and data signals clearly indicated by a branch between elements 20 and 21 in Figure 1) from optical data signals (reference numeral 20 in Figure 1) over one or more optical fibers (i.e. the fibers at the input and input of element 8 in Figure 1, the fibers connecting element 21 to element 8, the separation of addressing and data signals clearly indicated by a branch between elements 20 and 21, reference numeral 3 in Figure 1) extending from said telecommunication routing apparatus towards the destination

address representing a second network element; d) receiving means (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) for receiving optical data signals generated at a plurality of different network elements; and e) transmission means (reference numeral 8 in Figure 1) for transmitting the optical data signals received towards the destination address along an optical path (reference numeral 20, 9b, 8, 11a, 3 in Figure 1) extending from the telecommunication routing apparatus toward the second network element which comprises at least one optical fiber that is different from any one or more optical fibers over which the second optical addressing signals separated from the optical data signals are transmitted (i.e. the separation of addressing and data signals clearly indicated by a branch between elements 20 and 21 in Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 48-52, 54, 59, 67-71, 73, and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnsley in view of Nir (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,652).

Regarding claim 48 and 67, Barnsley differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to specifically teach that information extracted from at least one of the optic addressing signals is transmitted at one of two binary illumination states. However, the transmission of binary information is very well known in the art. Furthermore, Nir, in the same field of optical communication, teaches the transmission of optical address signals in different binary illumination states (column 6 lines 23-65). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to

employ a binary illumination scheme such as that taught by Nir in order to increase the number of available addresses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit optical address signals in different binary illumination states.

Regarding claim 49, Barnsley differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to specifically teach that at least one of the optical addressing signals is transmitted at a certain illumination level whereas at least one other optical addressing signal is presented by absence of illumination. However, as discussed regarding claim 48, Nir teaches the transmission of optical address signals in different binary illumination states and further teaches that the optical addressing signals is transmitted at a certain illumination level (e.g. “1” being high) whereas at least one other optical addressing signal is presented by absence of illumination (e.g. “0” being low) (column 6 lines 23-65). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a binary illumination scheme such as that taught by Nir in order to increase the number of available addresses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit optical address signals in different binary illumination states.

Regarding claims 50-52 and 68-71, the combination of references obviates the ability to transmit the optical addressing signals either on the same wavelength, different wavelength, at the same intensity or different intensities (see addressing tables of Nir indicating different intensities and different wavelengths). Furthermore, the applicant’s claim to a variety of combinations of wavelengths and intensities indicates that this feature is not critical to the invention at hand. Clearly, one skilled in the art would possess the ability to transmit optical signals at different intensities and wavelengths as desired. As such the combination of references obviates the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 54 and 73, Barnsley differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to specifically teach that the transmission of said at least one of the optical data signals is delayed by allowing said at least one of the optical data signals to pass through an optic fiber of a length corresponding to a desired delay in the transmission. However, Nir teaches this limitation (column 2 line 9 –14). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a delay as taught by Nir in order to prevent loss of data bits (column 5 lines 14-17 of Barnsley). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to delay the transmission of a data signal via a delay fiber.

Regarding claims 59 and 84, Barnsley differs from the claimed invention in that Barnsley fails to specifically teach that at least one part of said first path extends in a network which uses at least one of the following protocols: MPLS, MPλS, IP, ATM and SS7. However, Nir teaches the IP protocol (column 1 lines 28-35). Furthermore, the protocols listed by the applicant are very well known in the art and well within the realm of knowledge of one skilled in the art. As such, one skilled in the art could have selected which protocol or combination of protocols would be most effective in the system of Barnsley. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ different protocols as taught by Nir in the system of Barnsley.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Agustin Bello/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613